

1 THE HONORABLE MARSHA J. PECHMAN
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

9
10 THE POKÉMON COMPANY
11 INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Delaware
12 corporation,
13

Plaintiff,

v.

14 BRYAN GARCIA CRUZ, an individual,
15

Defendant.

No. 19-cv-1911MJP

PLAINTIFF THE POKÉMON COMPANY
INTERNATIONAL, INC.'S MOTION TO
EXTEND THE DEADLINES FOR
SERVICE & THE JOINT STATUS REPORT

NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:
May 15, 2020

16
17 Plaintiff, The Pokémon Company International, Inc. ("TPCi"), moves this Court under
18 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) for a 60-day extension of the deadline to serve Defendant
19 Bryan Garcia Cruz. TPCi also seeks a 60-day extension of the Joint Status Report deadline as
20 well as all associated deadlines.

21 Mr. Cruz leaked more than a dozen images from TPCi's *Pokémon Sword and Shield: The*
22 *Official Galar Region Strategy Guide* ("Strategy Guide"), which TPCi created to accompany the
23 release of two highly anticipated video games, Pokémon Sword and Pokémon Shield. TPCi sued
24 then-anonymous John/Jane Doe Defendants on November 22, 2019 and on March 26, 2020
25 amended its complaint to name Mr. Cruz. When the Court issued the summons the next day,
26 TPCi promptly sent a letter to Mr. Cruz requesting that he waive service. Although Mr. Cruz

MOTION TO EXTEND DEADLINES
(No. 19-cv-1911MJP) – 1

148142532.2

Perkins Coie LLP
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900
Seattle, WA 98101-3099
Phone: 206.359.8000
Fax: 206.359.9000

1 claims to have recently sent the signed waiver of service to TPCi, TPCi has not received it.
 2 Because TPCi is still awaiting the waiver of service, TPCi seeks a 60-day extension of time to
 3 serve Mr. Cruz.

4 **I. BACKGROUND**

5 **A. TPCi and Its Trade Secrets**

6 TPCi is an internationally renowned media franchise centered on fictional Pokémons
 7 characters featured in video games, trading cards, animated television shows, movies, comic
 8 books, toys, apparel, and accessories. Am. Compl. ¶ 11. TPCi worked with GAME FREAK and
 9 Nintendo during development of the most recent video games, Pokémons Sword and Pokémons
 10 Shield, which were released on November 15, 2019. *Id.* ¶¶ 14–15. TPCi developed an official
 11 strategy guide, *Pokémon Sword & Pokémons Shield: The Official Galar Region Strategy Guide*,
 12 for Pokémons Sword and Pokémons Shield, which was released on November 22, 2019. *Id.*
 13 ¶¶ 21–22. The Strategy Guide contains information and images of characters from Pokémons
 14 Sword and Pokémons Shield that TPCi and its partners worked to keep secret prior to the games'
 15 launch. *Id.* ¶ 23.

16 **B. Defendant's Wrongdoing**

17 On November 1 and 2, 2019, Mr. Cruz worked with others to leak more than a dozen
 18 images from the Strategy Guide. *Id.* ¶ 30. It appears that a cell phone was used to surreptitiously
 19 take pictures of the Strategy Guide and then, Mr. Cruz, working with the other defendants,
 20 posted the images on Discord. *See id.* ¶¶ 31–39; Exs. 1–38. With these posts, multiple Pokémons
 21 and their attributes were disclosed, as well as new evolutions for existing Pokémons. This
 22 information had not previously been revealed to the public.

23 **C. TPCi's Identification of Doe Defendants**

24 TPCi investigated the Discord servers where the images were leaked to identify the key
 25 players, hired an outside forensic investigator to assist in its search for the source, and used open-
 26 source search engines to attempt to identify the leakers. Simpkins Decl. ¶ 2. In addition, this

1 Court granted TPCi's two requests to serve third-party subpoenas on the platforms where the
 2 images were leaked, internet service providers connected to the accounts responsible for the
 3 leaked images, and an email service provider. Dkt. Nos. 5, 11. Through its efforts, TPCi was
 4 able to identify the three Doe Defendants, two defendants have now been dismissed and the
 5 complaint has been amended to name Mr. Cruz. Dkt. Nos. 14, 16, 17.

6 TPCi filed its Amended Complaint on March 26, 2020, and the Court issued the
 7 summons on March 27, 2020. Dkt. Nos. 17, 18. That day, TPCi's counsel sent (via U.S. mail
 8 and email) a packet of documents to Mr. Cruz, including, among other items, a request to waive
 9 service of the summons. Simpkins Decl. ¶ 3. In late April, Mr. Cruz and TPCi's counsel
 10 exchanged communications regarding the request to waive service. Mr. Cruz claims he has
 11 signed and returned the waiver of service of summons by U.S. Mail. *Id.* ¶ 4. As of the date of
 12 this motion, however, TPCi has not received the signed waiver of service. *Id.*

13 TPCi now seeks a 60-day extension of the deadline for service as well as for the filing of
 14 the Joint Status Report and associated deadlines to allow it time to receive the signed waiver or,
 15 if the waiver is not forthcoming, serve Mr. Cruz by personal service.

16 II. ARGUMENT

17 A. Good Cause Exists to Extend the Deadline for Service

18 Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m), a plaintiff must serve a defendant within
 19 ninety days after the filing of the complaint. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). But “the court must extend
 20 the time for service for an appropriate period” if the plaintiff shows good cause. *Id.*
 21 Alternatively, the Court has broad discretion to grant an extension of time to serve even without
 22 a showing of good cause. *In re Sheehan*, 253 F.3d 507, 512 (9th Cir. 2001); *Grassmoeck v.*
 23 *Bensky*, No. C04-2016MJP, 2006 WL 448909, at *2–3 (W.D. Wash. Feb. 22, 2006) (citing
 24 *United States v. 2,164 Watches*, 366 F.3d 767, 772 (9th Cir. 2004)). To demonstrate that good
 25 cause exists, the court may require plaintiff to show “(a) the party to be served received actual
 26 notice of the lawsuit; (b) the defendant would suffer no prejudice; and (c) plaintiff would be

1 severely prejudiced if his complaint were dismissed.” *In re Sheehan*, 253 F.3d at 512 (citing
 2 *Boudette v. Barnette*, 923 F.2d 754, 756 (9th Cir. 1991)). The Ninth Circuit observes that “good
 3 cause” may include the following circumstances: (1) where the defendant has evaded service; (2)
 4 where service was attempted but not completed; (3) where the plaintiff was confused by the
 5 requirements for service of process; and (4) where plaintiff was prevented from effective service
 6 “by factors beyond his control.” *See Wei v. Hawaii*, 763 F.2d 370, 371–72 (9th Cir. 1985).

7 Good cause exists here. First, TPCi has communicated with Mr. Cruz regarding its
 8 request for waiver of service, which included sending Mr. Cruz copies of the Amended
 9 Complaint, Civil Case Coversheet, Summons, Rule 7.1 Corporate Disclosure Statement for
 10 TPCi, several orders affecting the case schedule, and the docket report. Simpkins Decl. ¶ 3. Mr.
 11 Cruz has notice of the lawsuit. Second, Mr. Cruz would not suffer prejudice from an extension
 12 because the case is in its early stages and Mr. Cruz will be promptly personally served if he has
 13 not, in fact, waived service. *Grassmueck*, 2006 WL 448909, at *3. Third, TPCi would be
 14 prejudiced if this case were dismissed because it would not be able to seek redress from Mr.
 15 Cruz, and the resources TPCi invested in investigating the leak, bringing this action, and
 16 attempting to serve Mr. Cruz would be wasted.

17 Even if a plaintiff does not show good cause, the Court may exercise its discretion to
 18 extend the time for service. *Lemoge v. United States*, 587 F.3d 1188, 1198 (9th Cir. 2009);
 19 *Mann v. Am. Airlines*, 324 F.3d 1088, 1090 n.2 (9th Cir. 2003). The discretionary factors
 20 include: the statute of limitations, prejudice to the defendants, actual notice of the lawsuit, and
 21 eventual service. *Ecret v. City of Black Diamond*, No. C07-171RSL, 2007 WL 2743432, at *3–4
 22 (W.D. Wash. Sept. 17, 2007). Here there is little, if any, prejudice to Mr. Cruz as the case is in
 23 its early stages, the proposed delay in service would be minimal, and TPCi will promptly serve
 24 Mr. Cruz if it does not receive the waiver of service in the next week.

1 **B. Good Cause Exists to Extend the Joint Status Report and Related Deadlines**

2 A motion to extend the deadline for the Joint Status Report and related activities may be
 3 granted for good cause. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1). Good cause exists here. The Joint Status
 4 Report is due June 1, 2020. Dkt. No. 15. Because TPCi has not received the waiver of service
 5 or, alternatively, served Mr. Cruz, it would be premature to hold a Case Management
 6 Conference, serve initial disclosures, or submit a Joint Status Report. Accordingly, in the
 7 interest of justice and to enhance judicial efficiency and preserve resources, TPCi respectfully
 8 requests that the deadline for the Joint Status Report be extended approximately 60 days to July
 9 31, 2020, with all related deadlines to be extended accordingly.

10 **III. CONCLUSION**

11 For the foregoing reasons, TPCi respectfully requests that the Court grant TPCi's motion
 12 for a 60-day extension to serve Mr. Cruz and to extend the Joint Status Report deadline as well
 13 as all related deadlines.

15 DATED this 7th day of May, 2020.

16 s/ Holly M. Simpkins

17 Holly M. Simpkins, WSBA No. 33297

18 Lauren W. Staniar, WSBA No. 48741

19 Jacob P. Dini, WSBA No. 54115

20 **Perkins Coie LLP**

21 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900

22 Seattle, WA 98101-3099

23 Telephone: 206.359.8000

24 Facsimile: 206.359.9000

25 E-mail: hsimpkins@perkinscoie.com

26 E-mail: lstaniar@perkinscoie.com

2 E-mail: jdini@perkinscoie.com

22 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 23 The Pokémon Company International, Inc.